UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,751	07/20/2005	Yasuhiro Ikeda	2005_1083A	1615
513 WENDEROTI	7590 08/07/2007 H, LIND & PONACK, L		EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET N. W.			PICKARD, ALISON K	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3673	
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment		10/542,751	IKEDA, YASUHIRO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Alison K. Pickard	3673				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10					
		-· action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits	is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E			15			
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	i(d).			
11)[11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	· .						
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/542,751

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There does not appear to be support for the new limitation requiring both the inner and outer walls to be disposed within the concave part. The specification seems to disclose portions 11b and 11c as the inner and outer walls. However, only 11b is in the concave part. Clarification is need.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hosokawa (6,367,811).

Application/Control Number: 10/542,751

Art Unit: 3673

Page 3

Hosokawa discloses a lip seal with a first reinforcing member 9 having a wall surface part defining a hole (inner diameter of 9) and a cylindrical part (see Fig. 8). The seal has a first sealing member 13 including an annular base (near 5a/b) joined to the housing 31. A first lip extends almost conical inwardly. An annular concave part formed (via portion 4, i.e. the shape of 2-4 forms a concave) on the base allows the cylindrical part of member 9 to be detachably fit. As best understood, Hosokawa discloses an inner and outer cylindrical wall (in as much as Applicant has provided support) within the concave. The end portion at 3, which forms part of the concave, is a caulked flange. When lifted (i.e. unfolded) the cylindrical part can be withdrawn in an axial direction.

5. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCormick (3,362,719).

McCormick discloses a lip seal comprising a first member with a wall 54 and cylindrical portion 56 (with inner and outer walls as best understood), a first sealing member having a base 58 and lip 52 (or 46) extending conically inwardly. The base forms a concave (seen best in fig. 4) that allows the cylindrical portion to be withdrawn in an axial direction.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-10 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 5-7-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,751

Art Unit: 3673

Hosokawa is capable of being axially detached when portion 3 is folded upwardly.

McCormick, Nagasawa '153, and JP '377 also disclose at least claim.

The new limitations relating to the inner and outer cylindrical walls are not clear. Are these portions other than those defined by the specification (11b, 11c)? Wall 11c does not appear to be within the concave portion.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 571-272-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tricia Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673